arXiv:1508.00467v1 [math.GN] 3 Aug 2015

FAMILIES OF CONTINUOUS RETRACTIONS AND FUNCTION SPACES

S. GARCÍA-FERREIRA AND R. ROJAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Abstract. In this article, we mainly study certain families of continuous retractions (r-skeletons) having certain rich properties. By using monotonically retractable spaces we solve a question posed by R. Z. Buzyakova in [**6**] concerning the Alexandroff duplicate of a space. Certainly, it is shown that if the space X has a full r-skeleton, then its Alexandroff duplicate also has a full r-skeleton and, in a very similar way, it is proved that the Alexandroff duplicate of a monotonically retractable space is monotonically retractable. The notion of q-skeleton is introduced and it is shown that every compact subspace of $C_p(X)$ is Corson when X has a full q-skeleton. The notion of strong r-skeleton is also introduced to answer a question suggested by F. Casarrubias-Segura and R. Rojas-Hernández in their paper [**7**] by establishing that a space X is monotonically Sokolov iff it is monotonically ω-monolithic and has a strong r-skeleton. The techniques used here allow us to give a topological proof of a result of I. Bandlow [**4**] who used elementary submodels and uniform spaces.

1. Preliminaries and Introduction

Our spaces will be Tychonoff (completely regular and Hausdorff). The set of natural numbers will be denoted by $\mathbb{N}$ and ω will stand for the first infinite cardinal number. Given an infinite set X, $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the power set of X, the symbol $[X]^{\leq\omega}$ will denote the set of all countable subsets of X and the meaning of $[X]^{<\omega}$ should be clear. The real line with the usual order topology will be denoted by $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ will stand for a countable fixed base for the topology of $\mathbb{R}$. For a space X, $C_p(X)$ will be the set $C(X)$ of all real-valued continuous functions on X equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence and $exp(X)$ will be the family of all non-empty closed subsets of X. For a continuous map $f : X \to Y$ we denote by $f^* : C_p(Y) \to C_p(X)$ the dual map of f given by $f^*(g) = g \circ f$ for all $g \in C_p(Y)$. If $Y \subseteq X$, then we denote by $\pi_Y : C_p(X) \to C_p(Y)$ the function which restricts any map in $C_p(X)$ to Y. For a nonempty set $A \subseteq C_p(X)$ the map $\Delta_A : X \to \mathbb{R}^A$ is called the *diagonal map* of A. A surjective map $f : X \to Y$ is called $\mathbb{R}$-*quotient* if, for every function $g : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ the continuity of the composition $g \circ f$ implies the continuity of g. A continuous surjection will be referred as a *condensation.* A space X is called *cosmic* if it has a countable network. For $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $f : X \to Y$, we say that $\mathcal{N}$ is a *network of* f if for every $x \in X$ and each open set U in Y with $f(x) \in U$ there is $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N$ and $f(N) \subseteq U$. Given a set $A \subseteq X$, a family $\mathcal{N} \subseteq exp(X)$ is said to be an *external network* of A in X if for each $x \in A$ and each open set U with $x \in U$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subseteq U$. All topological notions whose definitions are not stated explicitly here should be understood as in [**2**] and [**19**] .

The families of continuous retractions often appear in Functional Analysis and in General Topology: For instance, the projectional resolutions of the identity (see [**11**]) and the inverse limits of retractions (see [**13**]). One more example of this kind of families is the following notion of r-skeleton:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C99, 54C15, 54E20.

Key words and phrases. r-skeleton, full r-skeleton, strong r-skeleton, q-skeleton, full q-skeleton, Corson compact, function space, monotonically retractable, Alexandroff duplicate.

Research of the first-named author was supported by CONACYT grant no. 176202 and PAPIIT grant no. IN-101911.

Definition 1.1. [**13**] An *r-skeleton* in a space X is a family of continuous retractions $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$, indexed by an up-directed and σ-complete partially ordered set Γ, such that:

(i) $r_s(X)$ is cosmic for each $s \in \Gamma$,
(ii) $r_s = r_s \circ r_t = r_t \circ r_s$ whenever $s \leq t$,
(iii) if $\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \Gamma$, $s_n \leq s_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} s_n$; then $r_t(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_{s_n}(x)$ for each $x \in X$, and
(iv) $x = \lim_{s\in\Gamma} r_s(x)$ for every $x \in X$.

If $X = \bigcup_{s\in\Gamma} r_s(X)$, then we say that $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is a *full r-skeleton.*

W. Kubiś and H. Michalewski [**13**] used the r-skeletons to characterize the Valdivia compact spaces: A compact space is Valdivia iff it has a commutative r-skeleton. In the paper [**8**], the author applied the r-skeletons to characterize Corson compact spaces: A compact space is Corson if and only if it has a full r-skeleton. The r-skeletons have also been a very important tool in the study of certain topological properties. For instance, M. Cúth and O. Kalenda [**9**] proved that a countably compact space is monotonically retractable iff it has a full r-skeleton. In this way, they answered a question posed in [**16**], by showing that a compact space is monotonically retractable if and only if it is Corson. On the other hand, I. Bandlow [**3**] gave a characterization of the Corson-compact spaces by means of countable elementary substructures. This characterization certainly motivates the consideration of the r-skeletons. Three years later, by using also elementary submodels and uniform structures, Bandlow [**4**] solved a problem posed by A. V. Arhangelskii [**2**, Prob. IV.3.16] by establishing that a compact space X is Corson when $C_p(X)$ is a continuous image of a closed subspace of the product $L_\kappa^\omega \times K$, where K is an arbitrary compact space and L_κ denotes the Lindelöf extension by one point of the discrete space of infinite cardinality κ.

The second section is devoted to show basic properties of ω-monotone maps that will be used in the subsequent sections. Our main result in the third section is to prove that if a space either has a full r-skeleton or it is monotonically retractable, then its Alexandroff duplicate has the same property. As a consequence, the Alexandroff duplicate of a Corson compact is also Corson compact. Besides, we show that the Alexandroff duplicate of a Σ-product of real lines is monotonically retractable, this solves positively [**6**, Question 3.16] (see Corolary 3.5). In the fourth section, we introduced the notion of q-skeleton in parallel sense to the notion of r-skeleton. We show that every compact subspace of $C_p(X)$ has a full r-skeleton (i.e., it is Corson) whenever X has a full q-skeleton. We also prove that Lindelöf Σ-spaces and pseudocompact spaces have a full q-skeleton. Monotonically Sokolov spaces where studied in [**16**], where a two-way C_p-duality between monotonically retractable and monotonically Sokolov spaces was established. In the fifth section, we introduce the notion of strong r-skeleton and show that a space X is monotonically Sokolov iff it is monotonically ω-monolithic and has a strong r-skeleton. This result answers a question in [**7**] and clarifies the relation between monotonically retractable spaces, monotonically Sokolov spaces, q-skeletons and r-skeletons. Finally, we apply q-skeletons, in the section fifth, to provide a topological proof of Bandlow's result quoted above.

2. Monotone assignments and monotone properties

We start this section with the description of notion a ω-monotone map.

Definition 2.1. Let Γ be an up-directed and σ-complete partially ordered set and Y a set. A function $\phi : \Gamma \to [Y]^{\leq\omega}$ is called *ω-monotone* provided that:

(a) if $s, t \in \Gamma$ and $s \leq t$, then $\phi(s) \subseteq \phi(t)$; and

(b) if $\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \Gamma$, $s_n \leq s_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} s_n$, then

$$\phi(t) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi(s_n).$$

We are mainly interested in the following topological properties that somehow involve monotone maps.

For the case when $\Gamma = [X]^{\leq\omega}$, where X is a nonempty set, the order involved will be always the containment.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a space. We say that X is:

- [**1**] *monotonically ω-monolithic* if there exits $\mathcal{N} : [X]^{\leq\omega} \to [\mathcal{P}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ such that $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is an external network of $\mathrm{cl}_X(A)$ for each $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is ω-monotone.
- [**15**] *monotonically ω-stable* if there exits an ω-monotone map $\mathcal{N} : [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega} \to [\mathcal{P}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ such that $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is a network of $\Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}$, for all $A \in [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega}$.
- [**14**] *monotonically retractable* if we can assign to each $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ a continuous retraction $r_A : X \to X$ and a family $\mathcal{N}(A) \in [\mathcal{P}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ such that $A \subseteq r_A(X)$, $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is a network of r_A and $\mathcal{N}$ is ω-monotone.
- [**16**] *monotonically Sokolov* if we can assign to every $\mathcal{F} \in [exp(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ a continuous retraction $r_{\mathcal{F}} : X \to X$ and a family $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}) \in [\mathcal{P}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ such that $r_{\mathcal{F}}(F) \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F})$ is an external network of $r_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is ω-monotone.

The next ω-monotone map will be essential in some proofs.

Example 2.3. Given $N_1, \ldots, N_n \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$, put

$$[N_1, \ldots, N_n; U_1, \ldots, U_n] = \{f \in C_p(X) : \forall i \leq n\big(f(N_i) \subseteq U_i\big)\}.$$

Consider the map $\mathcal{W} : [\mathcal{P}(X)]^{\leq\omega} \to [\mathcal{P}(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{N}) = \{[N_1, \ldots, N_n; B_1, \ldots, B_n] : n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall i \leq n\big(N_i \in \mathcal{N} \wedge B_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\big)\},$$

for each $\mathcal{N} \in [\mathcal{P}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$. It is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{W}$ is ω-monotone. For each $D \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ let $\mathcal{W}_0(D) = \mathcal{W}(\{\{x\} : x \in D\})$ which is the family of all canonical open sets in $C_p(X)$ with support in D. It is easy to see that the map $\mathcal{W}_0 : [X]^{\leq\omega} \to [\mathcal{P}(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega}$ is also ω-monotone.

In what follows we shall frequently and without reference the following two easy facts.

- If $\phi : [X]^{\leq\omega} \to [Y]^{\leq\omega}$ and $\psi : [Y]^{\leq\omega} \to [Z]^{\leq\omega}$ are ω-monotone, then $\psi \circ \phi$ is ω-monotone.
- If $\phi : [X]^{\leq\omega} \to [Y]^{\leq\omega}$, then ϕ is ω-monotone if and only if there exists a map $\varphi : [X]^{<\omega} \to [Y]^{\leq\omega}$ such that $\phi(A) = \bigcup\{\varphi(F) : F \in [A]^{<\omega}\}$.

Now, we shall prove two basic results.

Definition 2.4. Let $\phi : [X]^{\leq\omega} \to [X]^{\leq\omega}$ be a function. For a set $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$, the *closure of A under ϕ* is the set

$$\overline{\phi}(A) = \bigcap \left\{B \in [X]^{\leq\omega} : A \subseteq B \text{ and } \phi(B) \subseteq B\right\}.$$

The next lemma give us a method to generate ω-monotone assignments with some nice properties which are very useful for our purposes.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\phi : [X]^{\leq\omega} \to [X]^{\leq\omega}$ be an ω-monotone map. Then for each $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ the set $\overline{\phi}(A)$ satisfies that $A \subseteq \overline{\phi}(A) \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ and the function $\overline{\phi}$ is ω-monotone.*

Proof. We are going to construct $\overline{\phi}(A)$ by a recursive process. Let $\phi_0(A) = A$ and $\phi_{n+1}(A) = \phi_n(A) \cup \phi(\phi_n(A))$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using induction it is easy to verify that $\phi_n(A) \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ and $\phi_n(A) \subseteq \overline{\phi}(A)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(A) \subseteq \overline{\phi}(A)$. On the other hand $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(A)$ and since ϕ is ω-monotone, we obtain that $\phi(\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(A))$

$\subseteq \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(A)$. It follows from the definition that $\overline{\phi}(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(A)$. So, $\overline{\phi}(A) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(A)$ and $A \subseteq \overline{\phi}(A) \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$. By applying induction again, we can verify that ϕ_n is ω-monotone for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As a consequence, we have the following:

(a) If $A, B \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ and $A \subseteq B$, then $\overline{\phi}(A) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(B) = \overline{\phi}(B)$.

(b) If $\{A_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq [X]^{\leq\omega}$, $A_m \leq A_{m+1}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} A_m$; then $\overline{\phi}(A) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(A) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(A_m) = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \phi_n(A_m) = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \overline{\phi}(A_m)$.

Therefore, $\overline{\phi}$ is ω-monotone. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be a set and let Γ be an up-directed and σ-complete partially ordered set. Suppose that for each $x \in X$ we have assigned $s_x \in \Gamma$. Then there exists a function $\gamma : [X]^{\leq\omega} \to \Gamma$ such that*

(i) $\gamma(\{x\}) \geq s_x$ for each $x \in X$;

(ii) if $A \subseteq B$, then $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$; and

(iii) if $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq [X]^{\leq\omega}$, $A_n \leq A_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n$; then $\gamma(A) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \gamma(A_n)$.

Proof. First we define $\gamma(F)$ for $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$. The point $\gamma(F)$ will be defined by induction on the cardinality of F as follows: $\gamma(\emptyset) = s_0$ for some arbitrary $s_0 \in \Gamma$, and for a nonempty $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ we let $\gamma(F)$ be an upper bound of $\{\gamma(G) : G \subseteq F, G \neq F\} \cup \{s_x : x \in F\}$. For the general case, we define $\gamma(A) = \sup\{\gamma(F) : F \in [A]^{<\omega}\}$ for every $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$. First note that $\gamma(A)$ is well defined and it is not hard to see that γ satisfies (i) and (ii). To verify (iii) we assume that $A = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ where $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because of (ii) we have $\gamma(A_n) \leq \gamma(A_{n+1}) \leq \gamma(A)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \gamma(A_n) \leq \gamma(A)$. On the other hand, if $F \in [A]^{<\omega}$, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F \in [A_m]^{<\omega}$ and hence $\gamma(F) \leq \gamma(A_m) \leq \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \gamma(A_n)$. It then follows that $\gamma(A) \leq \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \gamma(A_n)$. Therefore, $\gamma(A) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \gamma(A_n)$. □

Proposition 2.7. *If the space X has a full r-skeleton, then X has a full r-skeleton $\{r_A : A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}\}$ such that for each $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ we have that $r_A(x) = x$ for every $x \in A$.*

Proof. Assume that $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is a full r-skeleton in X. Given $x \in X$ fix $s_x \in \Gamma$ such that $r_{s_x}(x) = x$. Consider $\gamma : [X]^{\leq\omega} \to \Gamma$ as in Lemma 2.6. For $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ we define $r_A = r_{\gamma(A)}$. We left the reader to check that $\{r_A : A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}\}$ is a full r-skeleton which satisfies the desired conditions. □

3. The Alexandroff duplicate of a space

Let us remind the definition of the *Alexandroff duplicate* $AD(X)$ of a space X: It is the space $X \times \{0, 1\}$ with the topology in which all points of $X \times \{1\}$ are isolated, and basic neighborhoods of points $(x, 0)$ are of the form $(U \times \{0, 1\}) \setminus \{(x, 1)\}$ where U is a neighborhood of x in X. We denote by π the projection from $AD(X)$ onto X. Our task in this section is to prove that if a space either has a full r-skeleton or it is monotonically retractable, then its Alexandroff duplicate has the same property.

Theorem 3.1. *If X has a full r-skeleton, then $AD(X)$ also has a full r-skeleton.*

Proof. Consider a full r-skeleton $\{r_A : A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}\}$ on X as in Proposition 2.7. For each $A \in [AD(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ we define the map $\hat{r}_A : AD(X) \to AD(X)$ as follows:

$$\hat{r}_A((x,i)) = \begin{cases} (r_{\pi(A)}(x), i) & \text{if } x \in \pi(A) \\ (r_{\pi(A)}(x), 0) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \pi(A), \end{cases}$$

for $x \in X$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$. For each $A \in [AD(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ observe that $\hat{r}_A$ is a continuous retraction and $\hat{r}_A((x,i)) = (r_{\pi(A)}(x), i) = (x, i)$ for each $x \in \pi(A)$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $\Gamma := [AD(X)]^{\leq\omega}$. We shall prove that $\{\hat{r}_A : A \in \Gamma\}$ is a full r-skeleton on $AD(X)$.

(i) Given $A \in \Gamma$, since A is countable and $r_{\pi(A)}(X)$ is cosmic, the space $\hat{r}_A(AD(X)) = \big(r_{\pi(A)}(X) \times \{0\}\big) \cup \big(\pi(A) \times \{1\}\big)$ is also cosmic.

(ii) Assume that $A, B \in \Gamma$ and $A \subseteq B$. Note that $\pi(A) \subseteq \pi(B)$. Choose $(x,i) \in AD(X)$. We shall verify that $\hat{r}_A((x,i)) = \hat{r}_A \circ \hat{r}_B((x,i)) = \hat{r}_B \circ \hat{r}_A((x,i))$. Consider the following tree cases.

Case 1. $x \in X \setminus \pi(B) \subseteq X \setminus \pi(A)$. Then we have $\hat{r}_A(\hat{r}_B((x,i))) = \hat{r}_A((r_{\pi(B)}(x),0)) = (r_{\pi(A)}(r_{\pi(B)}(x)),0) = (r_{\pi(A)}(x),0) = \hat{r}_A((x,i))$ and $\hat{r}_B(\hat{r}_A((x,i))) = \hat{r}_B((r_{\pi(A)}(x),0)) = (r_{\pi(B)}(r_{\pi(A)}(x)),0) = (r_{\pi(A)}(x),0) = \hat{r}_A((x,i))$.

Case 2. $x \in \pi(B) \setminus \pi(A)$. In this case, we obtain that $\hat{r}_A(\hat{r}_B((x,i))) = \hat{r}_A((x,i))$ and $\hat{r}_B(\hat{r}_A((x,i))) = \hat{r}_B((r_{\pi(A)}(x),0)) = (r_{\pi(B)}(r_{\pi(A)}(x)),0) = (r_{\pi(A)}(x),0) = \hat{r}_A((x,i))$.

Case 3. $x \in \pi(A) \subseteq \pi(B)$. So,

$$\hat{r}_A(\hat{r}_B((x,i))) = \hat{r}_A((x,i)) = (x,i) = \hat{r}_B((x,i)) = \hat{r}_B(\hat{r}_A((x,i))).$$

(iii) Choose $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \Gamma$ with $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $A = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n$. We will prove that $\hat{r}_A((x,i)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_{A_n}((x,i))$ for every $(x,i) \in AD(X)$. Let $(x,i) \in AD(X)$. Note that $\pi(A) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \pi(A_n)$ and hence $r_{\pi(A)}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_{\pi(A_n)}(x)$. If $x \in X \setminus \pi(A)$, then $x \in X \setminus \pi(A_n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $\hat{r}_A((x,i)) = (r_{\pi(A)}(x),0) = (\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{\pi(A_n)}(x),0) = \lim_{n\to\infty}(r_{\pi(A_n)}(x),0) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{r}_{A_n}((x,i))$. If $x \in \pi(A)$, then $x \in \pi(A_n)$ when $n > m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{A_n}((x,i)) = \lim_{n\to\infty}(x,i) = (x,i) = r_{\pi(A)}((x,i))$.

(iv) Given $(x,i) \in AD(X)$ there exists $\{(x,i)\} \in \Gamma$ such that if $A \in \Gamma$ and $\{(x,i)\} \subseteq A$, then $x \in \pi(A)$ and so $\hat{r}_A((x,i)) = (x,i)$. It follows that $(x,i) = \lim_{A\in\Gamma} \hat{r}_A((x,i))$.

Finally, it is clear that $AD(X) = \bigcup_{A\in\Gamma} \hat{r}_A(AD(X))$. □

Corollary 3.2. [**12**] *If X is Corson compact, then $AD(X)$ is also Corson compact.*

Proof. Let X be a Corson compact space. Because of the characterization of Corson compact spaces obtained in [**8**, Theorem 3.11], the space X has a full r-skeleton. Then we can apply Theorem 3.1 to see that $AD(X)$ also has a full r-skeleton. As $AD(X)$ is a compact space, applying [**8**, Theorem 3.11] again, we obtain that $AD(X)$ is a Corson compact space. □

In particular, we have the following consequence.

Corollary 3.3. *If X is Corson compact, then $C_p(AD(X))$ is Lindelöf.*

In [**6**] Buzyakova asked whether or not the function space over the Alexandroff duplicate of a Σ-product of real lines has the Lindelöf property. In order to get a positive answer to this question (in Corollary 3.5), we shall prove that monotone retractability is preserved under Alexandroff duplicates.

Theorem 3.4. *If X is monotonically retractable, then $AD(X)$ is also monotonically retractable.*

Proof. Let X be a monotonically retractable space. Hence, by definition, we assign to each $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ a continuous retraction $r_A : X \to X$ and a family $\mathcal{N}(A) \in [\mathcal{P}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ such that $A \subseteq r_A(X)$, $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is a network of r_A and $\mathcal{N}$ is ω-monotone. For each $A \in [AD(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ consider the continuous retract $\hat{r}_A : AD(X) \to AD(X)$ as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. As above, for each $A \in [AD(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ we know that $\hat{r}_A((x,i)) = (r_{\pi(A)}(x),i) = (x,i)$ for each $x \in \pi(A)$ and $i \in \{0,1\}$. Now, for each $A \in [AD(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ we define the set

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}(A) = \{(N \setminus F) \times \{i\} : N \in \mathcal{N}(\pi(A)), F \in [\pi(A)]^{<\omega}, i \in \{0,1\}\}$$

$$\cup\{\{(x,i)\} : x \in \pi(A), i \in \{0,1\}\},$$

which is obviously countable. We claim that the both assignments $A \to \hat{r}_A$ and $A \to \hat{\mathcal{N}}(A)$ witness that $AD(X)$ is a monotonically retractable space. In fact, it is standard to verify that $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ is ω-monotone. To prove that $\hat{\mathcal{N}}(A)$ is a network of $\hat{r}_A$ we fix $(x,i) \in AD(X)$ and assume that $\hat{r}_A((x,i)) \in V$ for some open set $V \subseteq AD(X)$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $x \in \pi(A)$. In this case, we have that $\{(x,i)\}$ satisfies that $\{(x,i)\} \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}(A)$ and $\hat{r}_A(\{(x,i)\}) = \{(x,i)\} \subseteq V$.

Case 2. $x \in X \setminus \pi(A)$. We then have that $\hat{r}_A((x,i)) = (r_{\pi(A)}(x), 0) \in V$. Without lost of generality, we may assume that $V = (U \times \{0,1\}) \setminus \{(r_{\pi(A)}(x), 1)\}$ where U is a neighborhood of $r_{\pi(A)}(x)$ in X. Since $\mathcal{N}(\pi(A))$ is a network of $r_{\pi(A)}$, there is $N \in \mathcal{N}(\pi(A))$ such that $x \in N$ and $r_{\pi(A)}(N) \subseteq U$. If $r_{\pi(A)}(x) \in \pi(A)$, then we put $\hat{N} = (N \setminus \{r_{\pi(A)}(x)\}) \times \{i\}$, and note that $(x,i) \in \hat{N} \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}(A)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{r}_A(\hat{N}) &\subseteq \big(r_{\pi(A)}(N) \times \{0\}\big) \cup \big(r_{\pi(A)}(N \cap \pi(A)) \times \{1\}\big) \\
&= \big(r_{\pi(A)}(N) \times \{0\}\big) \cup \big((N \cap \pi(A)) \times \{1\}\big) \\
&\subseteq \big(U \times \{0\}\big) \cup \big((U \setminus \{r_{\pi(A)}(x)\}) \times \{1\}\big) \\
&= (U \times \{0,1\}) \setminus \{(r_{\pi(A)}(x), 1)\} \\
&= V.
\end{aligned}$$

For the case $r_{\pi(A)}(x) \notin \pi(A)$ we set $\hat{N} = N \times \{i\}$, and as above we have that $(x,i) \in \hat{N} \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}(A)$ and $\hat{r}_A(\hat{N}) \subseteq V$. ☐

Corollary 3.5. *If X is the Σ-product of ω_1-many copies of $\mathbb{R}$, then $C_p(AD(X))$ is Lindelöf.*

Proof. We know from [**14**, Corollary 3.14] that X is monotonically retractable. Hence, by applying Theorem 3.4, we obtain that $AD(X)$ is also monotonically retractable. Finally, by [**14**, Theorem 3.18], the space $C_p(AD(X))$ is Lindelöf. ☐

It is proved in [**12**] that the Alexandroff duplicate of an Eberlein (a Corson) compact is an Eberlein (a Corson) compact. By a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 2.13 (ii) from [**12**], we can show the following result.

Proposition 3.6. *If X has a weakly σ-point finite T_0-separating family*[1] *of cozero subsets of X, then $AD(X)$ has the same property.*

Gul'ko compact spaces are precisely compact spaces for which $C_p(X)$ has the Lindelöf Σ-property. G. A. Sokolov proved in [**17**] that a compact space X is Gul'ko compact if and only if X has a weakly σ-point finite T_0-separating family of cozero subsets of X. Hence, we have the next corollaries.

Corollary 3.7. *If X is Gul'ko compact, then $AD(X)$ is also Gul'ko compact.*

Corollary 3.8. *If X is compact and $C_p(X)$ has the Lindelöf Σ-property, then $C_p(AD(X))$ has the Lindelöf Σ-property.*

We end this section with two open questions.

We have proved that $C_p(AD(X))$ is Lindelöf whenever X is a Corson compact space. A more general class of compact spaces for which $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf is the class of Sokolov spaces with $t(X^n) \leq \omega$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (for the definition of Sokolov space see [**18**]). This suggests the next natural question.

---

[1] Recall that a family $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of a space X is *T_0-separating* if for any distinct points $x, y \in X$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \cap \{x,y\}$ is a singleton. A family $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$ of subsets of X is called *weakly σ-point-finite* if $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{U}_n$ is point finite at $x\}$ for all $x \in X$.

Question 3.9. *Let X be a Sokolov (compact) space such that $t(X^n) \leq \omega$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is true that $C_p(AD(X))$ is Lindelöf.*

Question 3.10. *Assume that X is pseudocompact and $C_p(X)$ is Lindelöf Σ. Is it true that $C_p(AD(X))$ is also Lindelöf Σ?*

4. q-skeletons and Corson compact spaces

To start this section we state the notion of a q-skeleton which will be very useful to find Corson compact spaces inside of spaces of continuous functions.

Definition 4.1. Let X be a space. A *q-skeleton* on X is a family of $\mathbb{R}$-quotient maps $\{q_s : X \to X_s \mid s \in \Gamma\}$ indexed by an up-directed, σ-complete partially ordered nonempty set Γ together with a family $\{D_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ of countable subsets of X such that:

(i) the set $q_s(D_s)$ is dense in X_s,
(ii) if $s, t \in \Gamma$ and $s \leq t$, then there exists a continuous surjective map $p_{t,s} : X_t \to X_s$ such that $q_s = p_{t,s} \circ q_t$, and
(iii) the assignment $s \to D_s$ is ω-monotone.

In addition, if $C_p(X) = \bigcup_{s\in\Gamma} q_s^*(C_p(X_s))$, then we say that the q-skeleton is *full.*

Our task is to prove that countably compact subspaces of $C_p(X)$ have a full r-skeleton whenever X has a full q-skeleton. Before, we prove a very technical lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let K be an infinite subspace of X and let Γ be an up-directed and σ-complete partially ordered set. Assume that for each $s \in \Gamma$ we have assigned $\mathcal{M}(s) \in [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ and $\mathcal{N}(s) \in [K]^{\leq\omega}$ so that:*

(a) $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are ω-monotone,
(b) $K = \bigcup_{s\in\Gamma} \mathcal{N}(s) = \bigcup_{s\in\Gamma} \mathrm{cl}_X(\mathcal{N}(s))$, and
(c) $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s)} : X \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{M}(s)}$ maps $\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{N}(s))$ homeomorphically to $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s)}(K)$.

Then K has a full r-skeleton.

Proof. For each $s \in \Gamma$ we define $r_s = (\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s)} \restriction_{\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{N}(s))})^{-1} \circ (\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s)} \restriction_K)$. Then r_s is a continuous retraction from K to $\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{N}(s))$. We will verify that $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ satisfies the conditions of a full r-skeleton in K.

(i) It is clear that $r_s(X)$ is cosmic for each $s \in \Gamma$.

(ii) Assume that $s \leq t$ and $x \in K$. Let $y = r_t(x)$. It follows from $r_t(x) = y = r_t(y)$ that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(t)}(x) = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}(t)}(y)$. In particular, we have that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s)}(x) = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s)}(y)$. Hence, $r_s(x) = r_s(y) = r_s(r_t(x)) = r_s \circ r_t(x)$. On the other hand, we know that $r_s(x) \in \mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{N}(s)) \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{N}(t))$ which implies that $r_t \circ r_s(x) = r_t(r_s(x)) = r_s(x)$.

(iii) Assume that $\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \Gamma$ and $s_n \leq s_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Γ is σ-complete, $t = \sup\{s_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ exists and $\mathcal{M}(t) = \bigcup\{\mathcal{M}(s_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Pick $x \in K$ and let $y = r_t(x)$. Then $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(t)}(x) = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}(t)}(y)$ and, in particular, we have that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s_n)}(x) = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s_n)}(y)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s_n)}(r_{s_n}(x)) = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s_n)}(x)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s_n)}(y) = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}(s_n)}(r_{s_n}(x))$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by pointwise convergence, we obtain that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(t)}(y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Delta_{\mathcal{M}(t)}(r_{s_n}(x))$ and hence

$$r_t(x) = r_t(y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_t(r_{s_n}(x)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_{s_n}(x).$$

(iv) If $x \in \mathcal{N}(s)$ for some $s \in \Gamma$, then $r_s(x) = x$ and so $x = \lim_{s\in\Gamma} r_s(x)$. Finally, $K = \bigcup_{s\in\Gamma} \mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{N}(s)) = \bigcup_{s\in\Gamma} r_s(X)$. □

Now we are ready to prove our main result about q-skeletons.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that the families* $\{q_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ *and* $\{D_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ *form a q-skeleton on* X*. If a set* $K \subseteq \bigcup_{s\in\Gamma} q_s^*(C_p(X_s)) \subseteq C_p(X)$ *is countably compact, then* K *has a full* r*-skeleton.*

Proof. Our strategy is to define two functions $\mathcal{M} : \Gamma \to [C_p(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega}$ and $\mathcal{N} : \Gamma \to [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ as in the Lemma 4.2. To bring this about, we need to construct tree auxiliary ω-monotone assignments $\mathcal{D} : [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega} \to [X]^{\leq\omega}$, $\mathcal{E} : [X]^{\leq\omega} \to [C_p(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega}$ and $\mathcal{A} : [C_p(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega} \to [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega}$.

For each $f \in K$ fix $s_f \in \Gamma$ such that $f \in q^*_{s_f}\big(C_p(X_{s_f})\big)$. Consider the function $\gamma : [K]^{\leq\omega} \to \Gamma$ associated to the assignment $f \to s_F$ as in Lemma 2.6. If $A \in [K]^{\leq\omega}$ put $\mathcal{D}(A) = D_{\gamma(A)} \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{D}$ is ω-monotone.

Claim 1. For each $A \in [K]^{\leq\omega}$ we have $A \subseteq q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)}))$.

Proof of Claim 1. Pick $f \in A$. By the election of γ, we know that $\gamma(A) \geq \gamma(\{f\}) \geq s_f$. Now, condition (ii) from definition 4.1 asserts that there exists a continuous surjective function $p_{\gamma(A),s_f} : X_{\gamma(A)} \to X_{s_f}$ such that $q_{s_f} = p_{\gamma(A),s_f} \circ q_{\gamma(A)}$. Hence, $f \in q^*_{s_f}(C_p(X_{s_f})) \subseteq q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)}))$.

Consider the ω-monotone assignments

$$\mathcal{W}_0 : [X]^{\leq\omega} \to [\mathcal{P}(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega} \text{ and } \mathcal{W} : [\mathcal{P}(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega} \to [\mathcal{P}(C_p(C_p(X)))]^{\leq\omega}$$

defined as in example 2.3. For each nonempty set $N \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}_0(D)) : D \in [X]^{\leq\omega}\}$ we fix $\phi_N \in N \subseteq C_p(C_p(X))$. Then, for each $D \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ define

$$\mathcal{E}(D) = \{\phi_N : N \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}_0(D)) \text{ and } N \neq \emptyset\} \in [C_p(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega}.$$

It is evident that $\mathcal{E}$ is ω-monotone. Towards the definition of the assignment $\mathcal{A}$, for every $G \in [C_p(C_p(X))]^{<\omega}$ fix $A_G \in [K]^{\leq\omega}$ so that $\Delta_G(A_G)$ is dense in $\Delta_G(K)$. For each $E \in [C_p(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega}$ we define $\mathcal{A}(E) = \bigcup\{A_G : G \in [E]^{\leq\omega}\} \in [K]^{\leq\omega}$. Note that $\mathcal{A}$ is ω-monotone and $\Delta_E(\mathcal{A}(E))$ is dense in $\Delta_E(K)$ for all $E \in [C_p(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega}$.

For each $A \in [K]^{\leq\omega}$, we define $\mathcal{M}(A) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \in [C_p(C_p(X))]^{\leq\omega}$ and $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}(A))) \in [K]^{\leq\omega}$. It is straightforward to verify that $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are ω-monotone. Let $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(A)$ be the closure of A under $\mathcal{N}$ (see Definition 2.4). We know that $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ is a ω-monotone map. As a consequence the set $\Gamma' = \overline{\mathcal{N}}([K]^{\leq\omega})$ is up-directed and σ-complete. According to Lemma 2.5, we have $A \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{N}}(A) = \mathcal{N}(\overline{\mathcal{N}}(A))$ for all $A \in [K]^{\leq\omega}$ and hence $K = \bigcup\{\overline{\mathcal{N}}(A) : A \in [K]^{\leq\omega}\} = \bigcup_{A\in\Gamma'} \mathcal{N}(A)$. In order to finish the proof, in virtue of Lemma 4.2 it is enough to show the following two claims.

Claim 2. The set $\mathrm{cl}_K(\mathcal{N}(A)) \subseteq q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)}))$ and $\mathrm{cl}_K(\mathcal{N}(A))$ is compact for every $A \in \Gamma'$.

Proof of Claim 2. Observe from Claim 1 that $\mathcal{N}(A) = A \subseteq K \cap q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)}))$. Since $q_{\gamma(A)}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-quotient map, the set $q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)}))$ is closed in $C_p(X)$ (for a proof of this fact see [**2**, Theorem 0.4.10]). It follows that $K \cap q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)}))$ is countably compact. On the other hand, since the space $X_{\gamma(A)}$ is separable, we can apply [**2**, Theorem I.1.4] to see that $C_p(X_{\gamma(A)})$ (and hence $q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)})))$ admits a condensation onto a second countable space. In particular, we have that $K \cap q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)}))$ admits a condensation onto a second countable space. Since any condensation from a countably compact space onto a Frechet-Urysohn space is a homeomorphism, the space $K \cap q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)}))$ is second countable and hence compact. Therefore, $\mathrm{cl}_K(\mathcal{N}(A)) \subseteq q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)}))$ and $\mathrm{cl}_K(\mathcal{N}(A))$ is compact.

Claim 3. For each $A \in \Gamma'$ the function $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(A)}$ maps $\mathrm{cl}_K(\mathcal{N}(A))$ homeomorphically onto $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(A)}(K)$.

Proof of Claim 3. Since $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}(A))}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}(A))))$ is dense in $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}(A))}(K)$ and $\mathrm{cl}_K(\mathcal{N}(A))$ is compact, we have that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(A)}(\mathrm{cl}_K(\mathcal{N}(A))) = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}(A)}(K)$. To finish the proof of Claim 2, we shall prove that $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(A)} \restriction \mathrm{cl}_K(\mathcal{N}(A))$ is one-to-one. Pick two distinct maps $f, g \in \mathrm{cl}_K(\mathcal{N}(A)) \subseteq q^*_{\gamma(A)}(C_p(X_{\gamma(A)}))$. Choose $f', g' \in C_p(X_{\gamma(A)})$ such that $f = f' \circ q_{\gamma(A)}$ and $g = g' \circ q_{\gamma(A)}$. It is clear that $f' \neq g'$. Since $q_{\gamma(A)}(D_{\gamma(A)})$ is dense in $X_{\gamma(A)}$ we can find $x \in D_{\gamma(A)}$ such that $f(x) = f' \circ q_{\gamma(A)}(x) \neq g' \circ q_{\gamma(A)}(x) = g(x)$. Choose disjoint sets $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x) \in B_1$ and $g(x) \in B_2$. Consider the sets $N_1 = [x; B_1]$, $N_2 = [x; B_2]$ and $N = [N_1, N_2; B_1, B_2]$. Observe that $f \in N_1$ and $g \in N_2$. Then $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{W}_0(D_{\gamma(A)})$ and $N \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}_0(D_{\gamma(A)}))$. The set N is nonempty since $\pi_x \in N$. In this way, $\phi_N \in N$ and $\phi_N \in \mathcal{E}(D_{\gamma(A)}) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{D}(A)) = \mathcal{M}(A)$. It follows that $\phi_N(f) \neq \phi_N(g)$ and thus $\Delta_{\mathcal{M}(A)}(f) \neq \Delta_{\mathcal{M}(A)}(g)$. □

Now, we give a topological condition that implies Corson property on compact subspaces of $C_p(X)$, such result is analogous to Corollary 5.4 in [**4**] by replacing the Ω-property by a full q-skeleton.

Corollary 4.4. *If X has a full q-skeleton, then any compact subspace of $C_p(X)$ is Corson.*

Proof. Let K be a compact subspace of $C_p(X)$. It follows from Theorem 4.3 that K has a full r-skeleton. Now, we apply Theorem 3.11 from [**8**] to obtain that K is a Corson compact space. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let K be a compact space. If $C_p(K)$ is a continuous image of X and X has a full q-skeleton, then K is Corson compact.*

Proof. Let $\phi : X \to C_p(K)$ be a continuous surjection and $\psi : K \to C_p(C_p(K))$ be the natural embedding of K into $C_p(C_p(K))$. Since the map $\phi^* C_p(C_p(K)) \to C_p(X)$ is an embedding, the map $\phi^* \circ \psi$ is also an embedding. Hence, we can assume that $K \subseteq C_p(X)$. In virtue of Cororllary 4.4, we conclude that K is Corson compact. □

Now we shall proof that many topological spaces have a full q-skeleton. Before we prove a technical lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *If $A \subseteq C_p(X)$, then $A \subseteq \Delta^*_A(C_p(\Delta_A(X)))$. If in addition A is a dense subset of $\Delta^*_A(C_p(\Delta_A(X)))$, then the map $\Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-quotient.*

Proof. For the first assertion, pick $f \in A$ and let p_f be the projection of $\Delta_A(X)$ on the f-th coordinate. Then, we obtain that $f = p_f \circ \Delta_A \in \Delta^*_A(C_p(\Delta_A(X)))$. For the second part observe that for distinct points $x, y \in X$ we have $\Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(x) = \Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(y)$ iff $\Delta_A(x) = \Delta_A(y)$. As a consequence the natural projection $p_A : \Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(X) \to \Delta_A(X)$ is a condensation. It follows that $p^*_A(C_p(\Delta_A(X)))$ is dense in $C_p(\Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(X))$. In addition, we also know that $\Delta_A = p_A \circ \Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}$. So we have that

$$\Delta^*_A(C_p(\Delta_A(X))) = \Delta^*_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(p^*_A(C_p(\Delta_A(X)))) \subseteq \Delta^*_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(C_p(\Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(X))).$$

As a consequence the set $\Delta^*_A(C_p(\Delta_A(X)))$ is dense in $\Delta^*_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(C_p(\Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(X)))$. By our hypothesis, we conclude that A is dense in $\Delta^*_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(C_p(\Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(X)))$. Therefore, we have that $\Delta^*_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(C_p(\Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}(X))) = \mathrm{cl}(A)$. The map $\Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-quotient because of Theorem 0.4.10 from [**2**]. □

Proposition 4.7. *Every monotonically ω-stable space has a full q-skeleton.*

Proof. Suppose that the function $\mathcal{N} : [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega} \to [\mathcal{P}(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ witness that X is monotonically ω-stable.

For each $F \in [C_p(X)]^{<\omega}$ we select a countable dense subset A_F of $\Delta^*_F(C_p(\Delta_F(X)))$ and then for every $A \in [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ we let $\mathcal{A}(A) = \bigcup\{A_F : F \in [A]^{\leq\omega}\}$.

Claim. $\mathcal{A}(A)$ is a dense subset of $\Delta_A^*(C_p(\Delta_A(X)))$ for all $A \in [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega}$.

Fix $A \in [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega}$. To prove the claim first note that

$$\mathcal{A}(A) = \bigcup\{A_F : F \in [A]^{\leq\omega}\} \subseteq \bigcup\{\Delta_F^*(C_p(\Delta_F(X))) : F \in [A]^{\leq\omega}\} \subseteq \Delta_A^*(C_p(\Delta_A(X))).$$

Now set a canonical open set $U = [x_1, \ldots, x_n; B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ in $C_p(X)$ and $f \in U \cap \Delta_A^*(C_p(\Delta_A(X)))$. Then there exists $g \in C_p(\Delta_A(X))$ such that $f = g \circ \Delta_A$. Choose $F \in [A]^{\leq\omega}$ so that $\Delta_F(x_i) = \Delta_F(x_j)$ iff $\Delta_A(x_i) = \Delta_A(x_j)$, for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. Select $h \in C_p(\Delta_F(X))$ such that $h(\Delta_F(x_i)) = g(\Delta_A(x_i)) = f(x_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then $h \circ \Delta_F \in U \cap \Delta_F^*(C_p(\Delta_F(X)))$. Since the set A_F is dense in $\Delta_F^*(C_p(\Delta_F(X)))$ we must have that $U \cap \mathcal{A}(A) \supseteq U \cap A_F \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A}(A)$ is a dense subset of $\Delta_A^*(C_p(\Delta_A(X)))$.

Consider the closure $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(A)$ of A under $\mathcal{A}$ which is ω-monotone because of Lemma 2.5. On the other hand, for each nonempty set $N \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{N}(A) : A \in [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega}\}$ fix a point $x_N \in N$. For each $A \in [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ we define $\mathcal{D}(A) = \{x_N : N \in \mathcal{N}(A) \setminus \{\emptyset\}\}$. It is clear that the map $\mathcal{D}$ is ω-monotone. Consider the up-directed and σ-compete partially ordered set $\Gamma = \overline{\mathcal{A}}([C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega})$. For each $A \in \Gamma$ let $D_A := \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $q_A := \Delta_{\mathrm{cl}(A)}$. Fix $A \in \Gamma$. Since $\mathcal{N}(A)$ is a network for q_A, the set $q_A(D_A)$ is dense in $q_A(X)$. By the Claim we have that $A = \mathcal{A}(A)$ is dense in $\Delta_A^*(C_p(\Delta_A(X)))$. According to Lemma 4.6 q_A is an $\mathbb{R}$-quotient map. For each $B \in \Gamma$ with $A \subseteq B$ let us consider the natural projection $p_{B,A}$ from $q_B(X)$ onto $q_A(X)$ which satisfies $q_A = p_{B,A} \circ q_B$. Finally it is clear from the first part of Lemma 4.6 that $C_p(X) = \bigcup\{A : A \in \Gamma\} = \bigcup\{q_A^*(C_p(q_A(X))) : A \in \Gamma\}$. Therefore, X has a full q-skeleton. □

It was proved in [**15**, Proposition 4.4] and [**15**, Corollary 4.14] that Lindelöf Σ-spaces and pseudocompact spaces are monotonically ω-stable. Hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.8. *If X is either Lindelöf Σ or pseudocompact, then X has a full q-skeleton.*

Now we give conditions under which a q-skeleton must be full.

Proposition 4.9. *Assume that the families $\{q_s : X \to X_s | s \in \Gamma\}$ and $\{D_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ form a q-skeleton on X such that:*

(1) $X = \bigcup\{D_s : s \in \Gamma\}$,
(2) $q_s \restriction D_s$ is one-to-one, and
(3) $l(X^n) \leq \omega$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then the q-skeleton is full.

Proof. It is suffices to prove that $C_p(X) = \bigcup_{s\in\Gamma} q_s^*(C_p(X_s))$. Given $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$ fix $s_F \in \Gamma$ such that $F \subseteq D_{s_F}$. For each canonical non-empty open set $W = [x_1, \ldots, x_n; B_1, \ldots, B_n] \in \mathcal{W}(F)$ choose a map $h_{F,W} : X_{s_F} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $h_{F,W}(q_{s_F}(x_i)) \in B_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Consider the map $g_{F,W} = h_{F,W} \circ q_{s_F} \in q_{s_F}^*(C_p(X_{s_F})) \cap W$. Define

$$C_F = \{g_{F,W} : W \in \mathcal{W}(F), W \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq q_{s_F}^*(C_p(X_{s_F})) \subseteq C_p(X).$$

Next, for every $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ let $\mathcal{C}(A) = \bigcup\{C_F : F \in [A]^{\leq\omega}\} \in [C_p(X)]^{\leq\omega}$. It is evident that $\mathcal{C}$ is ω-monotone. It follows directly from the construction $\mathcal{C}(X) = \bigcup\{\mathcal{C}(A) : A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}\}$ is dense in $C_p(X)$.

Pick $f \in C_p(X)$. Since $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is dense in $C_p(X)$ and $t(X) = \sup\{l(X^n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \omega$, we can find a countable set $C \subseteq \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $f \in \mathrm{cl}(C)$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is ω-monotone we can assume that $C \subseteq \mathcal{C}(A)$ for some $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$. Let s be an upper bound of $\{s_F : F \in [A]^{\leq\omega}\}$ and note that

$$\mathcal{C}(A) = \bigcup\{C_F : F \in [A]^{\leq\omega}\} \subseteq \bigcup\{q_{s_F}^*(C_p(X_{s_F})) : F \in [A]^{\leq\omega}\} \subseteq q_s^*(C_p(X_s)).$$

Since q_s is an $\mathbb{R}$-quotient map, $f \in \mathrm{cl}(C) \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{C}(A)) \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(q_s^*(C_p(X_s))) = q_s^*(C_p(X_s))$. □

We finish this section with two open questions.

Question 4.10. *Assume that X is Lindelöf and has a full q-skeleton. It is true that each continuous image of X has a full q-skeleton?*

Question 4.11. *Assume that X is Lindelöf and has a full q-skeleton. Must X^n be necessarily Lindelöf, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$?*

5. Strong r-skeletons

A space X is monotonically ω-stable iff $C_p(X)$ is monotonically ω-monolithic [**15**]. We also know that a space X is monotonically retractable iff $C_p(X)$ is monotonically Sokolov [**16**]. Besides, it was proved in [**7**] that a space X is monotonically retractable iff it is monotonically ω-stable and has a full r-skeleton:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} X & \text{monotonically retractable} & = & \text{monotonically } \omega\text{-stable} & + & \text{full } r\text{-skeleton} \\ & \Updownarrow & & \Updownarrow & & \\ C_p(X) & \text{monotonically Sokolov} & = & \text{monotonically } \omega\text{-monolithic} & + & ? \end{array}$$

One of the purposes of this section is to find a system of retractions which completes this diagram. In this way, it is natural to conjecture that a space X is monotonically Sokolov iff it is monotonically ω-monolithic and has a full r-skeleton. However this conjecture is false according to Example 5.11 (c). By this reason, it was asked in [**7**, Question 4.6] if there exists a characterization of the monotone Sokolov property using monotone ω-monolithicity and a system of retractions. To solve this problem we will introduce the notion of strong r-skeleton and show that a space X is monotonically Sokolov iff it is monotonically ω-monolithic and has a strong r-skeleton.

Definition 5.1. We say that a r-skeleton$\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ in a space X is a *strong r-skeleton* if satisfies the following condition: for each $s \in \Gamma$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a closed subset F of X^n there exists $t \in \Gamma$ such that $s \leq t$ and $r_t^n(F) \subseteq F$, where $r_t^n : X^n \to X^n$ is the n-th power of the map r_t.

It is easy to see that any strong r-skeleton is a full r-skeleton (the Example 5.11 (c) assetrts that these two notions are distinct). Besides it is also easy to verify that strong r-skeletons are preserved by countable disjoint topological unions and inherited by closed subspaces. Next, we shall prove that a space X has a strong r-skeleton iff $C_p(X)$ has one. To have this done we first prove two auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ be a strong r-skeleton in a space X. If $s \in \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a countable subset of $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} exp(X^n)$, then there exists $t \in \Gamma$ with $s \leq t$ satisfying that $r_t^n(F) \subseteq F$ whenever $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F \subseteq X^n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $s \in \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} exp(X^n)$ is countable. Let $\{F_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a numeration of $\mathcal{F}$ where each element appears infinitely many times. Choose inductively an increasing sequence $\{s_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \Gamma$ as follows. Let $s_0 = s$. Assume that $s_k \in \Gamma$ has been defined for each $0 \leq k < m$. Since F_m is a closed subset of X^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find $s_m \in \Gamma$ with $s_k \leq s_m$ for each $k < m$ and $r_{s_m}^n(F_m) \subseteq F_m$. We claim that $t = \sup\{s_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the required element. Indeed, given $F \in \mathcal{F}$ let $N_F = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : F_m = F\}$. Since N_F is cofinal in $\mathbb{N}$, $t = \sup\{s_m : m \in N_F\}$. Note that if $m \in N_F$ and F is a subset of X^n, then $r_{s_m}^n(F) \subseteq F$. Thus, we obtain that $r_t^n(F) \subseteq F$. □

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that X has a full r-skeleton $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$. For each $s \in \Gamma$, we define*

$$\hat{r}_s = r_s^* \circ \pi_{r_s(X)} : C_p(X) \to C_p(X).$$

Then we have that $\{\hat{r}_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is a r-skeleton in $C_p(X)$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that each $\hat{r}_s$ is a continuous retraction. We will verify that $\{\hat{r}_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ satisfies conditions (i)-(iv) from Definition 1.1.

(i) Pick $s \in \Gamma$. Since the space $r_s(X)$ is cosmic, it is well known that the space $C_p(r_s(X))$ is also cosmic. Hence, $\pi_{r_s(X)}(C_p(X))$ must be cosmic. Since the map r_s^* is an embedding, the space $\hat{r}_s(X) = r_s^*(\pi_{r_s(X)}(C_p(X)))$ is also cosmic.

(ii) If $s, t \in \Gamma$ and $s \leq t$, then for each $f \in C_p(X)$ we have $\hat{r}_s(f) = f \circ r_s = f \circ r_t \circ r_s = \hat{r}_t(f) \circ r_s = \hat{r}_s \circ \hat{r}_t(f)$ and $\hat{r}_s(f) = f \circ r_s = f \circ r_s \circ r_t = \hat{r}_s(f) \circ r_t = \hat{r}_t \circ \hat{r}_s(f)$. Thus, we obtain that $\hat{r}_s = \hat{r}_S \circ \hat{r}_t = \hat{r}_t \circ \hat{r}_s$.

(iii) Given $\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \Gamma$ with $s_n \leq s_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $t = \sup\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For each $f \in C_p(X)$ and $x \in X$ we know that $\hat{r}_t(f)(x) = f(r_t(x)) = f(\lim_{n\to\infty} r_{s_n}(x)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f(r_{s_n}(x)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{r}_{s_n}(f)(x)$. It follows that $\hat{r}_t(f) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{r}_{s_n}(f)$.

(iv) Assume that $f \in C_p(X)$ and $f \in U = [x_1, \ldots, x_n; B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ for some canonical open subset of $C_p(X)$. Choose $s \in \Gamma$ such that $r_s(x_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Assume that $t \in \Gamma$ and $s \leq t$. Then $\hat{r}_t(f)(x_i) = f \circ r_t(x_i) = f(x_i) \in B_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. It follows that $\hat{r}_t(f) \in U$. Therefore, $f = \lim_{s\in\Gamma} \hat{r}_s(f)$. □

Theorem 5.4. *A space X has a strong r-skeleton iff $C_p(X)$ has a strong r-skeleton.*

Proof. We first prove the necessity. Assume that $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is a strong r-skeleton on X. We know that $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is a full r-skeleton in X. If $\{\hat{r}_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is as in Lemma 5.3, then $\{\hat{r}_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is an r-skeleton in $C_p(X)$. In order to prove that $\{\hat{r}_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is a strong r-skeleton, it is enough to show the following claim.

Claim. If $s \in \Gamma$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and G is a closed subset of $C_p(X)^n$, then there exists $t \in \Gamma$ such that $s \leq t$ and $r_t^n(G) \subseteq G$.

Let nX be the disjoint topological union of n copies of X. For each $s \in \Gamma$ let nr_s denote the natural continuous retraction induced by r_s on nX. It is standard to verify that $\{nr_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is a strong r-skeleton in nX. We identify $C_p(X)^n$ with $C_p(nX)$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B_1, \ldots, B_m \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ we define

$$F(G, B_1, \ldots, B_m) = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in (nX)^m : [x_1, \ldots, x_m; B_1, \ldots, B_m] \cap G = \emptyset\}.$$

It is easy to verify that $F(G, B_1, \ldots, B_m)$ has an open complement in $(nX)^m$, and hence, it is a closed subset of $(nX)^m$. By Lemma 5.2 we can find $t \in \Gamma$ such that $s \leq t$ and $(nr_t)^m(F(G, B_1, \ldots, B_m)) \subseteq F(G, B_1, \ldots, B_m)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B_1, \ldots, B_m \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. We assert that t is as promised. Assume on the contrary that there exists $f \in G$ such that $\hat{r}_t^n(f) \notin G$. We identify $\hat{r}_t^n$ with the map $\widehat{nr}_t = (nr_t)^* \circ \pi_{nr_t(nX)} : C_p(nX) \to C_p(nX)$. Since $\widehat{nr}_t(f) \notin G$, then we can choose $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in nX$ and $B_1, \ldots, B_m \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\widehat{nr}_t(f) \in [x_1, \ldots, x_m; B_1, \ldots, B_m]$ and $[x_1, \ldots, x_m; B_1, \ldots, B_m] \cap G = \emptyset$. Then we obtain that $f \circ nr_t \in [x_1, \ldots, x_m; B_1, \ldots, B_m]$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in F(G, B_1, \ldots, B_m)$. It follows that $f \in [nr_t(x_1), \ldots, nr_t(x_m); B_1, \ldots, B_m]$. By the properties of nr_t we have that $(nr_t(x_1), \ldots, nr_t(x_m)) \in F(G, B_1, \ldots, B_m)$; that is,

$$[nr_t(x_1), \ldots, nr_t(x_m); B_1, \ldots, B_m] \cap G = \emptyset,$$

but this is a contradiction since $f \in G$.

For the other implication of the theorem, assume that $C_p(X)$ has a strong r-skeleton. By the firs part $C_p(C_p(X))$ has a strong r-skeleton. Since strong r-skeletons are inherited by closed subspaces and X is a closed subspace of $C_p(X)$, we conclude that X has a strong r-skeleton. □

No we will prove the main results of this section. To do that we need some technical assertions.

The next Lemma is established by using some basic ideas in the proof of Corolary 4.8 from [**16**]. We give a sketch of the proof.

Lemma 5.5. *If the assignments* $A \to r_A$ *and* $A \to \mathcal{N}(A)$ *witnesses that the space* X *is monotonically retractable, then for every* $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ *and every* $F \in exp(X)$ *there exists* $B \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ *such that* $A \subseteq B$ *and* $r_B(F) \subseteq F$.

Proof. Let $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ and $F \in exp(X)$. By induction we construct a sequence of countable sets $\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ as follows: $B_0 = A$ and suppose that B_n has been constructed for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix a countable set $A_n \subseteq X$ such that $A_n \cap F \cap N \neq \emptyset$ whenever $F \cap N \neq \emptyset$, for each $N \in \mathcal{N}(B_n)$. Define $B_{n+1} = A_n \cup B_n$. Finally it can be verified that $B = \bigcup\{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is as promised. □

Theorem 5.6. *If* X *is monotonically retractable space, then* X *a strong* r*-skeleton.*

Proof. Assume that the assignments $A \to r_A$ and $A \to \mathcal{N}(A)$ witnesses that X is monotonically retractable. As in the proof of [**7**, Theorem 4.3], for each $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ we can find $E(A) \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ so that $A \subseteq E(A)$, the assignment $A \to E(A)$ is ω-monotone, and $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is a full r-skeleton in X, where $\Gamma = \{E(A) : A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}\}$. To see that $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ is a strong r-skeleton, we only need to show the following claim.

Claim. If $s \in \Gamma$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and F is a closed subset of X^n, then there exists $t \in \Gamma$ such that $s \leq t$ and $r_t^n(F) \subseteq F$.

Proof of the Claim. We know that X^n is monotonically retractable [**14**], which can be justified as follows: For every countable set $B \subseteq X^n$ we consider

(1) $S(B) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n p_i(B)$, where p_i is the ith-projection,
(2) $\hat{r}_B = r^n_{E(S(B))}$ and
(3) $\hat{\mathcal{N}}(B) = \{\prod_{i=1}^n N_i : N_1, \ldots, N_n \in \mathcal{N}(E(S(B)))\}$.

Indeed, the assignments $B \to \hat{r}_B$ and $B \to \hat{\mathcal{N}}(B)$ witnesses that X^n is monotonically retractable. Choose $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ for which $s = E(A)$. In virtue of Lemma 5.5, there exists a countable set $B \subseteq X^n$ such that $A^n \subseteq B$ and $\hat{r}_B(F) \subseteq F$. It follows that if $t = E(S(B))$, then $s \leq t$ and $r_t^n(F) \subseteq F$. □

Corollary 5.7. *A space* X *is monotonically retractable iff is monotonically* ω*-stable and has a strong* r*-skeleton.*

In a dual way, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 5.8. *A space* X *is monotonically Sokolov iff is monotonically* ω*-monolithic and has a strong* r*-skeleton.*

We know that if X is monotonically Sokolov, then $C_p(X)$ is monotonically retractable and hence any compact subspace of $C_p(X)$ is Corson. In a similar way, it is also known that if X is monotonically retractable, then $C_p(X)$ is monotonically Sokolov and hence any compact subspace of $C_p(X)$ is Corson. So it is natural to ask if any compact subspace of $C_p(X)$ is Corson when X has a strong r-skeleton. The following theorem provides a positive answer to this question because of Corollary 4.4.

Theorem 5.9. *If* X *has a strong* r*-skeleton, then* X *has a full* q*-skeleton.*

Proof. Let $\{r_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ be a strong r-skeleton in X. In order get a full q-skeleton in X we will construct a map $\gamma : [exp(X)]^{\leq\omega} \to \Gamma$ and a map $\mathcal{C} : [exp(X)]^{\leq\omega} \to [exp(X)]^{\leq\omega}$.

For each $\mathcal{F} \in [exp(X)]^{<\omega}$ we will assign an element $\gamma(\mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma$, by induction on the cardinality of $\mathcal{F}$, as follows: $\gamma(\emptyset) = s_0$ for some arbitrary $s_0 \in \Gamma$. Given a non-empty family $\mathcal{F} \in [exp(X)]^{<\omega}$ choose $\gamma(\mathcal{F}) \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma(\mathcal{F}') \leq \gamma(\mathcal{F})$ for each $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{F}' \neq \mathcal{F}$; and $r_{\gamma(\mathcal{F})}(F) \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Now, for each $\mathcal{F} \in [exp(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ let $\gamma(\mathcal{F}) = \sup\{\gamma(\mathcal{F}') : \mathcal{F}' \in [\mathcal{F}]^{<\omega}\}$. Note, in the general case, that $\gamma(\mathcal{F})$ is well defined and

(a) $r_{\gamma(\mathcal{F})}(F) \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$;
(b) if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$, then $\gamma(\mathcal{F}) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{F}')$; and
(c) if $\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq [exp(X)]^{\leq\omega}$, $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n$; then $\gamma(\mathcal{F}) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \gamma(\mathcal{F}_n)$.

To construct $\mathcal{C}$, if $\mathcal{F} \in [exp(X)]^{<\omega}$, since the space $r_{\gamma(\mathcal{F})}(X)$ is cosmic we can fix a countable family of singletons $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq exp(X)$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dense in $r_{\gamma(F)}(X)$. For every $\mathcal{F} \in [exp(X)]^{\leq\omega}$ let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \in [\mathcal{F}]^{<\omega}\}$. Consider the closure $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ under $\mathcal{C}$. Observe that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ are ω-monotone.

We are ready to construct a full q skeleton on X. The set $\Gamma' = \{\gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})) : \mathcal{F} \in [X]^{\leq\omega}\}$ is an up-directed partially ordered subset of Γ. For every $s = \gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})) \in \Gamma'$ we define $q_s = r_s$ and $D_s = \bigcup \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}))$. The map q_s being a continuous retraction is an $\mathbb{R}$-quotient map. We shall verify that $\{q_s : s \in \Gamma'\}$ and $\{D_s : s \in \Gamma'\}$ form a full q-skeleton on X.

(i) We claim that $q_s(D_s)$ is dense in $q_s(X)$ whenever $s = \gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})) \in \Gamma'$. Assume that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where $\mathcal{F}_n$ is finite and $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $q_s = r_{\gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}))} = \lim_{n\to\infty} r_{\gamma(\mathcal{F}_n)}$. As a consequence $q_s(X) = r_{\gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}))}(X) \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(\bigcup\{r_{\gamma(\mathcal{F}_n)}(X) : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$. By construction, we have that $\bigcup \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is dense in $r_{\gamma(\mathcal{F}_n)}(X)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left(\bigcup \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_n}\right)$ is dense in $\mathrm{cl}(\bigcup\{r_{\gamma(\mathcal{F}_n)}(X) : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$. Since $\bigcup\{\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}))$, the set D_s is dense in $\mathrm{cl}(\bigcup\{r_{\gamma(\mathcal{F}_n)}(X) : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$. Hence, D_s is dense in $q_s(X)$ as well. We only need to show that $q_s(x) = x$ for each $x \in D_s$. In fact, if $x \in D_s$, then $\{x\} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})$. By (a) we obtain that $r_{\gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}))}(\{x\}) \subseteq \{x\}$; that is, $q_s(x) = x$.

Conditions (ii) and (iii) in Definition 4.1 are easy to verify. To finish the proof we must show that the q-skeleton is full. In other words, $C_p(X) = \bigcup_{s\in\Gamma'} q_s^*(C_p(X_s))$ where $X_s = q_s(X)$ for $s \in \Gamma'$. Let $f \in C_p(X)$ and consider the family $\mathcal{F} = \{f^{-1}(\mathrm{cl}(B)) : B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$. If $s = \gamma(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F})) \in \Gamma'$, then the continuous map $q_s : X \to X$ satisfies $q_s(F) \subseteq F$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$. According to Lemma 4.15 of [**16**], $f = (f \restriction_{X_s}) \circ q_s$, that is, $f \in q_s^*(C_p(X_s))$. □

Corollary 5.10. *A compact space X is Corson iff $C_p(X)$ has a full q-skeleton.*

Proof. Assume that X is a Corson compact. By [**7**, Corollary 4.10] and Corollary 5.8 the space $C_p(X)$ has a strong r-skeleton. So we can apply Theorem 5.9 to see that $C_p(X)$ has a full q-skeleton. The other implication follows from Corollary 4.4 and the fact that X can be embedded in $C_p(C_p(X))$. □

The following diagram represents the relationships among the topological properties that so far have been studied.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\text{monotonically retractable} \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \text{monotonically Sokolov} \arrow[d] & \\
\text{monotonically } \omega\text{-stable} \arrow[dr] & \text{strong } r\text{-skeleton} \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\
 & \text{full } q\text{-skeleton} \arrow[d] & \text{full } r\text{-skeleton} \arrow[d] \\
 & q\text{-skeleton} & r\text{-skeleton}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now we list examples to show that, in general, no one of these implications can be reversed.

Example 5.11. (a) The compact space $[0,1]^{\omega_1}$ is not Corson, so it is an example of a monotonically ω-stable space which is not monotonically retractable (see [**15**, Corollary 4.14] and [**9**, Corollary 1.2]). According to Proposition 4.7 and [**8**, Theorem 3.11], this space also provides an example of a space with a full q-skeleton which does not have a strong r-skeleton.

(b) The linearly ordered space of all countable ordinals ω_1 is monotonically retractable hence has a strong r-skeleton (see [**14**, Theorem 3.8] and Theorem 5.6). However ω_1 is not monotonically Sokolov because it is not Lindelöf (see [**16**, Corollary 4.20]). In addition, Theorem 5.4 and [**16**, Corollary 4.19] imply that $C_p(\omega_1)$ has a strong r-skeleton but it is not monotonically retractable.

(c) Fix $\kappa > \omega$ and let D_κ be the set κ endowed with the discrete topology. Then D_κ has a full r-skeleton but does not have a strong r-skeleton. To see that D_κ has a full r-skeleton, consider the up-directed and σ-complete partially ordered set $\Gamma = [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}$. For each $A \in \Gamma$ we define $m(A) = \min A$ and consider the continuous retraction $r_A : D_\kappa \to D_\kappa$ given by $r_A(\alpha) = \alpha$ if $\alpha \in A$ and $r_A(\alpha) = m(A)$ otherwise. It is easy to verify that $\{r_A : A \in \Gamma\}$ is a full r-skeleton in D_κ. On the other hand, note that $[0,1]^\kappa \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\kappa = C_p(D_\kappa)$. Since $[0,1]^\kappa$ is not a Corson compact, by Corollary 4.4, the space D_κ does not have a full q-skeleton and, as a consequence, it does not admit a strong r-skeleton (see Theorem 5.9).

(d) By [**16**, Proposition 4.29] the space L_κ is monotonically Sokolov. Applying Corollary 5.8 and Theorem 5.9 we obtain that it has a full q-skeleton. On the other hand, according to Corollary 5.7, the space L_κ can not be monotonically ω-stable since it does not have countable tightness (see [**14**, Corollary 3.20]).

(e) A Valdivia compact space which is not Corson is an example of a space with an r-skeleton which does not have a full retractional skeleton (see [**13**, Theorem 6.1] and [**8**, Theorem 3.11]).

(f) Let K be a compact space which is not Corson. It is easy to construct, by using only one projection, a trivial q-skeleton in $C_p(K)$. However, by Corollary 5.10, the space $C_p(K)$ does not have a full q-skeleton.

As we have mentioned above, a compact space is Corson iff it has a full r-skeleton iff it is monotonically retractable, and a compact space is Valdvia iff it has a commutative r-skelton.

Problem 5.12. *Find a characterization of Valdivia compact spaces similar to monotone retractability.*

6. q-SKELETONS IN $L_\kappa \times K$ FOR A COMPACT K

In the following, we use q-skeletons to prove that a compact space X is Corson whenever $C_p(X)$ is the continuous image of a closed subspace of $L_\kappa^\omega \times K$, where K is a compact space. In virtue of Corollary 4.5, it suffices to show that each closed subspace of $L_\kappa^\omega \times K$ have a full q-skeleton. First we prove a technical lemma and introduce some notation.

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that $\phi : K \to K'$ is a continuous surjective map, $r : Y \to Y$ is a continuous retraction, $D \subseteq X \subseteq Y \times K$ and $q = r \times \phi \restriction_X$. If K is compact, X is closed in $Y \times K$, $p_Y(D) \subseteq r(Y)$ and $q(D)$ is dense in $q(X)$, then $q : X \to q(X)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-quotient map.*

Proof. We shall prove that q is the composition of two $\mathbb{R}$-quotient maps. Let $Y' = r(Y)$. Since K is compact the map $\mathrm{id}_Y \times \phi : Y \times K \to Y \times K'$ is closed (see [**5**, 3.6.3]). Let $q' = (\mathrm{id}_Y \times \phi) \restriction X$ and $X' = q'(X)$. Since X is closed in $Y \times K$, the set X' is closed in $Y \times K'$. Besides, the map $q' : X \to X'$ is closed and hence an $\mathbb{R}$-quotient map. On the other hand, the map $r \times \mathrm{id}_{K'} : Y \times K' \to Y' \times K'$ is a continuous retraction. So, the second function will be $q'' = (r \times \mathrm{id}_{K'}) \restriction X'$. Put $X'' = q''(X')$. Note that $q = q'' \circ q'$.

Since $q(D)$ is dense in $q(X)$, we must have that $q''(q'(D))$ is dense in X''. Observe that $p_Y(D) \subseteq r(Y)$ implies that $q''(q'(D)) = q'(D)$. Hence, $q'(D)$ is dense in X''. As a consequence $q''(X') = X'' \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(q'(D)) \subseteq \mathrm{cl}(q'(X)) = \mathrm{cl}(X') = X'$. It follows that q'' is a continuous retraction and hence an $\mathbb{R}$-quotient map. Therefore, $q = q'' \circ q'$ is also $\mathbb{R}$-quotient. $\square$

Later on we will use the following notation. For a fixed cardinal κ the space L^ω_κ will be simply denoted by L. Also we may assume that L_κ has $\kappa + 1$ as a underlying set and κ is the unique nonisolated point of L_κ. For each $G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$, $u \in \kappa^G$ and $N \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}$ consider the clopen sets $U_{G,N} = \{y \in L : y(G) \subseteq L_\kappa \setminus N\}$ and $V_{G,u} = \{y \in L : y \restriction_G = u\}$ of L. Put

$$\mathcal{U}_L = \{U_{G,N} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}, N \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{V}_L = \{V_{G,u} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}, u \in \kappa^G\}.$$

Given $G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_L$ let $H_{G,V} := V \cap \{y \in L : y(G) \subseteq \{\kappa\}\}$. Observe that the family of clopen sets $\{U_{G,N} \cap V : N \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}\}$ is closed under countable intersections. Since $H_{G,V} = \bigcap\{U_{G,N} \cap V : N \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}\}$ and L is Lindelöf, it is easy to verify that the family $\{U_{G,N} \cap V : N \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}\}$ is a basis for the closed set $H_{G,V}$.

Theorem 6.2. *If K is compact and X is a closed subspace of $L \times K$, then X has a full q-skeleton.*

Proof. For each $A \subseteq [X]^{\leq\omega}$ choose $\mathcal{S}(A) = \kappa \cap \bigcup\{p_n(p_L(A)) : n \in \omega\} \in [L_\kappa]^{\leq\omega}$ and define $r_A : L \to L$ by the rule $r_A(y)(n) = y(n)$ if $y(n) \in \mathcal{S}(A)$ and $r_A(y)(n) = \kappa$ otherwise. Note that r_A is a continuous retraction and $r_A \restriction_{p_L(A)}$ is one-to-one. Besides it is easy to verify that $\mathcal{S}$ is ω-monotone. Let $\mathcal{V}(A) = \{V_{G,u} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}(A)^G\}$. Observe that $\mathcal{V}$ is also ω-monotone.

For each $x, x' \in X$ with $p_K(x) \neq p_K(x')$ fix a map $f_{x,x'} \in C(K)$ such that $f_{x,x'}(p_K(x)) \neq f_{x,x'}(p_K(x'))$. For every $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ set $\mathcal{C}(A) = \{f_{x,x'} : x, x' \in A, p_K(x) \neq p_K(x')\} \in [C(K)]^{\leq\omega}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{C}$ is ω-monotone. Let $\psi_A : \mathbb{R}^{C(K)} \to \mathbb{R}^{C(K)}$ the map defined by $\psi_A(\chi)(f) = \chi(f)$ if $f \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ and $\phi_A(\chi)(f) = 0$ otherwise, for each $\chi \in \mathbb{R}^{C(K)}$. Let $\phi_A = \psi_A \circ \Delta C(K) : K \to \mathbb{R}^{C(K)}$. Note that $\phi_A \restriction_{p_K(A)}$ is one-to-one. Observe that if $F \subseteq A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ and $W = [f_1, \ldots, f_n; B_1, \ldots, B_n] \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}(F))$, then $\phi_A^{-1}(W) = \phi_F^{-1}(W)$.

For $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ we shall consider the map $q_A = r_A \times \phi_A \restriction_X$. Next, we proceed to define the partially ordered set Γ and the family $\{D_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ of subsets of X.

Pick $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$. For each $G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$, $V \in \mathcal{V}(F)$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}(F))$ we define $N_{F,G,V,W} \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}$ and $x_{F,G,V,W} \in X$ as follows: If there exists a set $N \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}$ such that $X \cap (U_{G,N} \cap V \times \phi_F^{-1}(W)) = \emptyset$, then put $N_{F,G,V,W} \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega} = N$, and $N_{F,U,V,G} = \emptyset$ otherwise. If $X \cap (H_{G,V} \times \mathrm{cl}(\phi_F^{-1}(W))) \neq \emptyset$, then fix an arbitrary point $x_{F,G,V,W}$ in this intersection, and choose $x_{F,G,V,W}$ arbitrarily in the other case. Consider the sets

$$N_F = \bigcup\{N_{F,G,V,W} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}, V \in \mathcal{V}(F) \text{ and } W \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}(F))\} \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}$$

and

$$A_F = \{x_{F,G,V,W} : G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}, V \in \mathcal{V}(F) \text{ and } W \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}(F))\} \in [X]^{\leq\omega}.$$

Finally choose $E_F \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ so that $N_F \cap \mathcal{S}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(E_F)$ and $A_F \subseteq E_F$. For each $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ let $\mathcal{E}(A) = \bigcup\{E_F : F \in [A]^{<\omega}\}$ and let $\mathcal{D}(A)$ be the closure of A under $\mathcal{E}$. It is evident $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are ω-monotone.

Claim. Let $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$. If $\mathcal{E}(A) \subseteq A$, then $q_A(A)$ is dense in $q_A(X)$.

Proof of the Claim. Fix $A \in [X]^{\leq\omega}$ such that $\mathcal{E}(A) \subseteq A$. Let O be a non-empty open set in $q_A(X)$. Note that we can choose $U \in \mathcal{U}_L$, $V \in \mathcal{V}(A)$, $W \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}(A))$ and $(y, z) \in X$ such that $q_A(y, z) = (r_A(y), \phi_A(z)) \in (U \cap V) \times W$ and $\big((U \cap V) \times \mathrm{cl}(W)\big) \cap q_A(X) \subseteq O$. We can suppose that $U = U_{G,N_0}$ for some $G \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and $N_0 \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}$ with $\mathcal{S}(A) \subseteq N_0$. Note that $r_A(y) \in H_{G,V}$. Write $A = \bigcup\{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where each F_n is a finite set and

$F_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are ω-monotone, we can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V \in \mathcal{V}(F_m)$ and $W \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}(F_m))$. Put $F = F_m$. As it was pointed out above $\phi_A^{-1}(W) = \phi_F^{-1}(W)$.

We assert that $X \cap (H_{G,V} \times \mathrm{cl}(\phi_F^{-1}(W))) \neq \emptyset$. Assume on the contrary that $X \cap (H_{G,V} \times \mathrm{cl}(\phi_F^{-1}(W))) = \emptyset$. Then $H_{G,V} \cap p_L(X \cap (L \times \mathrm{cl}(\phi_F^{-1}(W)))) = \emptyset$. As K is compact, the set $p_L(X \cap (L \times \mathrm{cl}(\phi_F^{-1}(W))))$ is closed. Since the family $\{U_{G,N} \cap V : N \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}\}$ is a basis for the closed set $H_{G,V}$, we can find $N \in [\kappa]^{\leq\omega}$ such that $(U_{G,N} \cap V) \cap p_L(X \cap (L \times \mathrm{cl}(\phi_F^{-1}(W)))) = \emptyset$. It follows that $X \cap (U_{G,N} \cap V \times \phi_F^{-1}(W)) = \emptyset$. By construction N_F has been chosen in such a form that $X \cap (U_{G,N_F} \cap V \times \phi_F^{-1}(W)) = \emptyset$ and $N_F \cap \mathcal{S}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(E_F) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A) \subseteq N_0$. Using this fact and the fact that $r_A(y) \in H_{G,V}$, we may conclude that $y \in U_{G,N_F} \cap V$. As a consequence, we obtain that $(y,z) \in X \cap \big((U_{G,N_F} \cap V) \times \phi_F^{-1}(W)\big)$, which is a contradiction. Hence. we can consider the point $x_0 = (y_0, z_0) = x_{F,G,V,W} \in X \cap (H_{G,V} \times \mathrm{cl}(\phi_F^{-1}(W)))$. In one hand, we have that $x_0 \in E_F \subseteq \mathcal{E}(A) \subseteq A$ and on the other hand we have that $y_0 \in H_{G,V}$ and $z_0 \in \mathrm{cl}(\phi_F^{-1}(W)) = \mathrm{cl}(\phi_A^{-1}(W))$. These two conditions imply that $q_A(x_0) = (r_A(y_0), \phi_A(z_0)) \in (U \cap V \times \mathrm{cl}(W)) \cap q_A(X) \subseteq O$. This proves the Claim.

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is ω-monotone, the set $\Gamma = \mathcal{D}([X]^{\leq\omega})$ is up-directed and ω-complete. Finally, we define $D_A = A$ for each $A \in \Gamma$. If $A \in \Gamma$, then it follows from Claim 1 and Lemma 6.1 that q_A is an $\mathbb{R}$-quotient map. We shall prove that the families $\{q_A : A \in \Gamma\}$ and $\{D_A : A \in \Gamma\}$ form a full q-skeleton. In fact, (i) is a consequence of the Claim because of $\mathcal{E}(A) = A$, for each $A \in \Gamma$. To check (ii) assume that $A, B \in \Gamma$ and $A \subseteq B$. Define $p_{B,A} := r_A \times \psi_A \restriction_{q_B(X)}$ and note that

$$p_{B,A} \circ q_B = (r_A \times \psi_A) \circ (\hat{r}_B \times \phi_B) = (r_A \circ \hat{r}_B) \times (\psi_A \circ \phi_B) = r_A \times \phi_A = q_A.$$

The condition *(iii)* is immediate. Thus, we conclude that the families $\{q_A : A \in \Gamma\}$ and $\{D_A : A \in \Gamma\}$ form a q-skeleton. This q-skeleton is full because the conditions of Lemma 4.9 are clearly satisfied. □

The following result was proved by I. Bandlow in [**4**] by using elementary submodels. Here, we obtain his result in a topological context.

Corollary 6.3. *Let K and Z be compact spaces; suppose that $C_p(Z)$ is a continuous image of a closed subspace X of $L \times K$. Then Z is Corson compact.*

Proof. Because of Theorem 6.2 the space X has a full q-skeleton. Finally, it follows from Corollary 4.5 that Z is Corson. □

REFERENCES

[1] O. T. Alas, V. V. Tkachuk, R. G. Wilson, *A broader context for monotonically monolithic spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., **125,4** (2009) 369-385.

[2] A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Topological Function Spaces*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1992.

[3] I. Bandlow, *A characterization of Corson-compact spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **32,3** (1991), 545-550.

[4] I. Bandlow, *On function spaces of Corson-compact spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **35,2** (1994), 347-356.

[5] R. Brown, *Topology and groupoids*, Third edition of Elements of modern topology McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968.

[6] R. Z. Buzyakova, *How sensitive is $C_p(X,Y)$ to changes in X and/or Y?*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **49,4** (2008), 657-665.

[7] F. Casarrubias-Segura and R. Rojas-Hernández, *On some monotone properties*, Topology Appl. **182** (2015), 36-52.

[8] M. Cúth, *Noncommutative valdivia compacta*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **55,1** (2014), 53-72.

[9] M. Cúth and O. Kalenda, *Monotone retractability and r-skeleton*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **423** (2015) 18-31.

[10] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, 2nd ed., Sigma Ser. Pure Math. **6** , Herdermann, Berlin, 1989.

[11] M. Fabián, *On projectional resolution of identity on the duals of certain Banach spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **35** (1987), no. 3, 363371.

[12] O. Kalenda, *Natural examples of Valdivia compact spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **350** (2009) 464-484.

[13] W. Kubiś and H. Michalewski, *Small Valdivia compact spaces*, Topology Appl. **153** (2006), 2560-2573.

[14] R. Rojas-Hernández, *Function spaces and D-property*, Topology Proc., **43** (2014) 301-317.

[15] R. Rojas-Hernández, *On monotone stability*, Topology Appl. **165** (2014), 50-57.

[16] R. Rojas-Hernández and V.V. Tkachuk, *A monotone version of the Sokolov property and monotone retractability in function spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **412** (2014) 125-137.

[17] G. A. Sokolov, *On some class of compact spaces lying in* Σ*-products*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **25,2** (1984), 219-231.

[18] V. V. Tkachuk, *A nice class extracted from* C_p*-theory*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **46** (2005) 503-513.

[19] V. V. Tkachuk, *A* C_p*-Theory Problem Book. Topological and Function Spaces*, Springer, NewYork, 2011.

Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Campus Morelia, Apartado Postal 61-3, Santa María, 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, México.

E-mail address: `sgarcia@matmor.unam.mx`

E-mail address: `satzchen@yahoo.com.mx`